

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/608,892	SIEGEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sandra M. Nolan-Rayford	1772	

All Participants:

(1) Sandra M. Nolan.

(2) Mary Louise Gioeni (518/452.5600) [on 6-8-05].

Status of Application: Pending

(3) Dr. Phill Hanson [on 6-27-05].

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 and 27 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
1-8, 21 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)